UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,966	03/02/2004	Santosh P. Gaur	RPS920020014US1	2143
	10/790,966 03/02/2004 Santosh P. Gaur	EXAMINER		
38500 CHARDON ROAD			KANE, CORDELIA P	
A DOTE I DATE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2132	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptocommunications@driggslaw.com carole@driggslaw.com alicia@driggslaw.com

Interview Summary		10/790,966	GAUR ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		CORDELIA KANE	2132			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>CORDELIA KANE</u> .		(3) <u>Anthony M. Del Zappo</u>	<u>III</u> .			
(2) <u>Gilberto Barron</u> .		(4)				
Date of Interview: 06 May 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conferer c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applic		2) <mark>∏</mark> applicant's representative	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) If Yes, brief description:	Yes	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,12 and 22</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Noehring et al.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed that adding the amended limitations found in claim 12 into the other independent claims would help overcome the rejection in view of Noehring. Updating Search would be required. With regards to 101 rejection, it was suggested to amend the claim to provide for a 'storage' medium providing that the specification had a basis for the term. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
		(Cilborto Parron Ir/				
Evaminar Nota: Valumust sign this form unless it:	c an	/Gilberto Barron Jr/ SPE, 2132	rod			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is Attachment to a signed Office action. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	5 an	Examiner's signature, if requi	reu 			
	Interview	<i>i</i> Summary	Paper	No. 20080506		

Application No.

Applicant(s)